

**REPORT IN TERMS OF SECTION 182(1)(b) OF THE
CONSTITUTION, 1996 AND SECTIONS 8(1) AND 8(2) OF THE
PUBLIC PROTECTOR ACT, 1994**

**REPORT NO 13
(SPECIAL REPORT)**

**REPORT ON THE ALLEGED IRREGULARITIES WITH REGARD TO THE
AFFAIRS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE SFF ASSOCIATION,
AND ON THE RELEVANT REPORTS OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL TO
PARLIAMENT**

**PUBLIC PROTECTOR
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA**

Madam Speaker and Honourable Members of Parliament

I have the honour to submit to you a special report in terms of section 182(1)(b) of the Constitution, 1996 and section 8(2) of the Public Protector Act, 1994. The report is in response to your request contained in the Resolution of the National Assembly, dated 21 August 1997, to investigate and report to the National Assembly on the alleged irregularities with regard to the affairs and financial statements of the SFF Association, and on the relevant reports of the Auditor-General to Parliament.

Adv S A M Baqwa, SC
Public Protector of the
Republic of South Africa

20 December 1999
Pretoria

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INFORMATION ON THE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROTECTOR	p	1 - 3
Definition	p	1
Jurisdiction	p	2
Nature of complaints	p	2
Powers	p	3
1. INTRODUCTION	p	4 - 39
BACKGROUND	p	4
CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE INVESTIGATION	p	12
APPLICABLE LAW	p	14
PROCEDURES	p	15
BACKGROUND OF THE WITNESSES	p	24
BACKGROUND TO CEF, SFF AND THE AUDITOR-GENERAL'S OFFICE	p	27
CEF	p	27
SFF	p	29
The Office of the Auditor-General	p	31
SECRECY PROVISIONS	p	37
2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	p	40 - 45
INTRODUCTION	p	40
CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING POLICY (R170 MILLION ISSUE)	p	40
PAYMENTS TO INTERSTATE	p	41
OTHER ISSUES	p	42
THE AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORTING TO PARLIAMENT	p	42
The audit of SFF	p	42
The Auditor-General's reports	p	43
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE/REASONABLENESS OF		

	THE ACTIONS OF THE VARIOUS PARTIES	p 44
3.	THE PURCHASE OF EGYPTIAN CRUDE OIL AND THE RELATED PAYMENTS TO INTERSTATE	p 46 – 124
	INTRODUCTION	p 46
	ALLEGATIONS SURROUNDING THE EGYPTIAN OIL TRANSACTIONS	p 46
	AMBIT OF THE INVESTIGATION WHEN DEALING WITH OIL TRANSACTIONS	p 47
	THE SANCTIONS PERIOD	p 48
	The role players during the sanctions period	p 48
	The payment of margins	p 50
	Payment of margins for purposes other than obtaining oil	p 51
	Original contracts with Interstate (before 1992)	p 52
	MOVE TOWARDS A DIRECT CONTRACT WITH EGPC	p 54
	THE FIRST DIRECT CONTRACT WITH EGPC	p 57
	THE SIX CENTS AGREEMENT WITH INTERSTATE	p 57
	THE ALLEGATION: NO ACCEPTABLE REASON FOR PAYMENTS TO INTERSTATE	p 59
	THE ALLEGATION: NO CONTRACT RECORDING PAYMENTS TO INTERSTATE	p 65
	FURTHER ALLEGATIONS ARISING FROM THE FACSIMILE OF 2 JULY 1992	p 72
	Interstate contract should have been renegotiated	p 72
	Payments to Interstate on oil taken into stock were not appropriate	p 73
	Interstate costs	p 74
	THE ALLEGATION: NO BOARD APPROVAL FOR PAYMENTS TO INTERSTATE	p 75
	The Crude Oil Committee	p 75
	Absence of reference in minutes of payments to Interstate ..	p 79
	Knowledge on the part of the Directors	p 81
	Standard of minuting	p 83
	Board meeting of 28 June 1994	p 83
	APPROVAL OF THE SIX CENTS AGREEMENT PAYMENTS	p 84

BOARD/CRUDE OIL COMMITTEE APPROVAL FOR THE RENEWAL OF THE EGPC CONTRACT	p 87
THE EGAM CONTRACT	p 87
Reasons for the EGAM contract	p 88
Assignment of the EGAM contract to AMOCO	p 90
Extension of the Six Cents Agreement with Interstate	p 92
Board approval for the EGAM contract	p 93
CRITICISM OF THE EGYPTIAN OIL CONTRACTS	p 96
Structure of the EGAM contract	p 96
Profitability of the Egyptian oil contracts	p 97
Interstate unknown	p 98
Value received in return for the 6 cents payments to Interstate	p 102
Availability of oil elsewhere	p 110
Possibility of direct contract with AMOCO	p 111
MINISTER MADUNA'S INVOLVEMENT	p 114
Investigating the Minister's role	p 114
Basis for the Minister's suspicions and remarks	p 115
FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS	p 122
CONCLUSION	p 123
4. THE SALEM RECOVERY	p 125 – p 127
5. CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING POLICY (R170 MILLION ISSUE)	p 128 – p 140
BACKGROUND	p 128
EXPLANATION OF THE ACCOUNTING POLICY CHANGE	p 130
FINANCIAL EFFECT OF THE CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING POLICY ..	p 131
ALLEGATIONS	p 134
The R170 million disclosed as the Results of Strategic Stock Transfers in the revised 1992/3 SFF financial statements was a physical loss	p 135
The new accounting policy was in contravention of Generally Accepted Accounting Practice	p 135

	The accounting policy was changed to “hide” profits from the “new Government”	p 138
	There was no evidence that the Board of Directors approved the change in accounting policy	p 138
	The original 1992/3 financial statements were not properly withdrawn	p 140
	The Auditor-General and his agents did not perform a proper audit in relation to the change in accounting policy	p 140
	The effects of the accounting policy change were deliberately not disclosed to Parliament and to the Minister	p 140
6.	R1 450 MILLION PAYMENT ON 1 APRIL 1997	p 141 – p 147
	BACKGROUND	p 141
	ALLEGATIONS	p 142
	Full information on the increase in the transfer to the Government was not provided to the Board of Directors prior to its approval. The SFF was left short of cash as the transfer was based upon oil sales that had not yet occurred. This was in contravention of Company Policy R05	p 143
	The audit should have identified problems with this payment	p 145
	The strategic oil reserves fell below the required number of 39 million barrels as at 31 March 1997	p 146
7.	CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	p 148 - 167
	KEY ALLEGATIONS	p 148
	BACKGROUND TO SFF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	p 149
	The Board of Directors	p 149
	<i>Applicable legislation</i>	p 149
	<i>Fiduciary responsibilities of Directors at SFF</i>	p 151
	<i>Types of Director</i>	p 152
	<i>The SFF Board</i>	p 152
	<i>Reporting to the Minister</i>	p 156
	The SFF Board of Directors did not correctly mandate and control the various Board committees	p 156

<i>Crude Oil Committee</i>	p 156
<i>Board Audit Committee</i>	p 157
The minutes of the Board and the various Committees were not kept properly	p 157
Mr Van Zyl acted without the appropriate authority and knowledge of the Board and did not provide them with adequate information regarding the key issues	p 158
Mr Van Zyl did not allow Internal Audit to operate in a fully independent way as required	p 160
Other allegations against Mr Van Zyl	p 164
The SFF Board of Directors did not fulfil their management role or ensure proper Corporate Governance in respect of the key issues above	p 164
OTHER CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ISSUES	p 165

8. AUDIT WORK

p 168 - 221

APPOINTMENT OF THE AUDITOR	p 168
ALLEGATIONS	p 169
General audit issues	p 170
Specific audit issues	p 171
BACKGROUND TO AUDITING	p 171
Types of Auditor	p 171
<i>External Auditor</i>	p 171
<i>Internal Auditor</i>	p 171
<i>Management Auditor</i>	p 172
<i>Forensic Auditor</i>	p 172
The audit opinion	p 172
<i>1991/2 audit opinion</i>	p 173
<i>1992/3 audit opinion</i>	p 175
<i>1993/4 – 1995/6 audit opinion</i>	p 177
<i>1996/97 audit opinion</i>	p 179
Financial statements are the responsibility of the Directors/Accounting Officer	p 179
Fairly present/materiality	p 180
Generally Accepted Auditing Standards/Generally	

Accepted Government Auditing Standards	p 189
<i>Generally Accepted Auditing Standards</i>	p 189
<i>Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards</i> ..	p 198
Generally Accepted Accounting Practice	p 199
The Companies Act 61 of 1973	p 200
Reasonable assurance, plan, perform and testing	p 200
<i>Compliance Work</i>	p 201
<i>Substantive Work</i>	p 202
<i>Testing</i>	p 202
Acts and regulations applicable to SFF	p 202
GENERAL AUDIT ISSUES	p 203
Price Waterhouse complied only with Generally Accepted Auditing Standards and not with the more onerous Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards	p 203
<i>Investigate, examine and audit</i>	p 206
<i>Regularity/performance auditing</i>	p 206
Price Waterhouse and the Auditor-General did not act independently of the auditee	p 209
SPECIFIC AUDIT ISSUES	p 210
The incorrect disclosure of the Salem monies should have been identified during the audit	p 210
The cash flow shortage caused by the R1 450 million payment to the Government on 1 April 1997 should have been identified during the audit	p 211
The audit opinion on the 1992/3 financial statements was incorrect as the change in accounting policy was not valid and the disclosure was incorrect	p 213
Interstate	p 213
<i>Reliance on Internal Audit</i>	p 215
<i>Materiality and value</i>	p 216
<i>Misrepresentation of audit sample to the Minister</i>	p 217
<i>Fraud reporting</i>	p 219
Overall finding	p 221

9. AUDITOR-GENERAL'S REPORTS TO PARLIAMENT .. p 222 - 265

BACKGROUND	p 223
Reporting by the agent and finalisation of the Auditor-General's report to Parliament	p 223
Relevant legislation	p 225
Secrecy provisions and reporting restrictions	p 225
ALLEGATIONS	p 227
The 1992/3 Auditor-General's report incorrectly abbreviated the full management financial statements to exclude the R170 million "Result of Strategic Stock Transfers" as well as the notes to the financial statements, and as such the audit opinion was incorrect	p 227
<i>Retrospectivity of the secrecy provisions</i>	p 228
<i>Reporting discretion</i>	p 229
<i>Basis for abridging</i>	p 231
<i>Compliance with GAAP</i>	p 234
<i>Disclosure of the change in accounting policy</i>	p 236
The consultation process followed by the Auditor-General in respect of his limiting the information provided in the 1992/3 report was not correct	p 237
The special report to Parliament was misleading and did not contain full information	p 250
The correspondence/interaction between the Auditor-General/his agent and Minister Maduna/NSN	p 250
Minister Maduna's suspicions were raised by the exclusion of the R170 million, which he felt was a cover-up of something	p 257
OVERALL FINDING	p 265
10. THE NSN'S REPORTS	p 266 – 272
BACKGROUND	p 266
ALLEGATIONS	p 269
TERMS OF REFERENCE	p 269
INDEPENDENCE	p 270
COMMENTS	p 271
11. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	p 273 - 278

THE PURCHASE OF EGYPTIAN CRUDE OIL AND THE RELATED PAYMENTS TO INTERSTATE	p 273
THE SALEM RECOVERY	p 275
CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING POLICY (R170 MILLION ISSUE)	p 275
R1 450 MILLION PAYMENT ON 1 APRIL 1997	p 275
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	p 276
INTERACTION BETWEEN MINISTER MADUNA AND THE AUDITOR-GENERAL	p 277
 ANNEXURE A – GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS AND TERMS USED IN THE REPORT	 p 279
 ANNEXURE B – MINISTER’S RESPONSES IN PARLIAMENT ON 16 JUNE 1996	 p 280 - 284
 ANNEXURE C – PRESS CONFERENCE OF 13 AUGUST 1997	 p 285 - 299
 ANNEXURE D – INCOME STATEMENT OF THE 1992/3 MANAGEMENT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF SFF	 p 300
 ANNEXURE E – ABRIDGED INCOME STATEMENT OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL’S REPORT FOR THE 1992/3 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF SFF	 p 301